

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR**FULL BENCH - I (Time 10:30 AM)****Daily Cause List dated : 30-08-2018****BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE S.K. SETH & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR SRIVASTAVA****Court Room No.: 1****Note:- CASES FOR FINAL HEARING SHALL BE TAKEN UP BY ALL THE BENCHES IMMEDIATELY AFTER COMPLETION OF MOTION HEARING.****MOTION HEARING****[ORDERS]**

SN	Case No	Petitioner / Respondent	Petitioner/Respondent Advocate
1	CONC 00196/2009	M.K.STHAPAK	MANOJ SHARMA, HIMANSHU CHOURASIA, RAJENDRA MISHRA, RAO ASSOCIATES, VINEET KUMR PANDEY, AJIT AGRAWAL,, S.PANDEY,S.K.CHATURVEDI, SHAILESH KUMAR JAIN[P-1], ANSHUL DIXIT[P-1], DEVENDRA KUMAR DIXIT[P-1]
		Versus	
		PRASHANT MEHTA	P.KAURAV, KAMLESH DWIVEDI(R-2), PURUSHAINDRRA KAURAV,KAMLESH DWIVEDI,T.SHEIKH(R-5), P.K.KAURAV,KAMLESH DWIVEDI,T.SHIEKH(R-6), S P SINGH[R-8], SURENDRA PRATAP SINGH[R-8], SAMDARSHI TIWARI[R-2], PRANAY CHOUBEY[R-2], NAVENDRA CHOUDHARY[R-2], ANKIT UPADHYAY[R-2], TABREZ SHEIKH[R-2]

CONTEMPT-11800 - High Court of M.P. (Contempt of Court Proceedings) Rules, 1980-11820 - High Court of M.P. (Contempt of Court Proceedings) Rules, 1980-11820

{Fixed Date/SPC} FOR ORDERS ON THE AFORESAID REASONS AND AFTER CONSIDERABLE DELIBERATIONS, WE ARE RESPECTFULLY UNABLE TO SUBSCRIBE TO THE VIEW TAKEN VIDE ORDER DATED 14.02.2017 .IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND IN VIEW OF THE LAW LAID DOWN BY THE SUPREME COURT IN THE AFOREMENTIONED CASES, WE CONSIDER IT APPROPRIATE THAT THIS MATTER BE REFERRED TO HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE TO CONSTITUTE AND APPROPRIATE BENCH, AS HIS LORDSHIP MAY CONSIDER APPROPRIATE.THE QUESTIONS THAT ARISE IN THE PRESENT PETITION, IN THE BACKDROP OF FACTS AND LAW NOTED ABOVE ARE:(1)WHETHER THE HIGH COURT WHILE EXERCISING ITS CONTEMPT JURISDICTION CAN DIRECT, AMPLIFIED/MODIFIED THE ORDER OF WHICH NON-COMPLIANCE IS ALLEGED BY EXERCISING THE POWER UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA?(2)WHETHER THE PETITIONER OUGHT TO HAVE BEEN RELEGATED TO APPROACH THE APPROPRIATE FORUM FOR APPROPRIATE REMEDY TO CHALLENGE THE CONSEQUENTIAL ORDER PASSED BY THE AUTHORITIES?(3)WHETHER THE DIRECTIONS SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ORDER THAT HAS BECOME FINAL SHOULD BE ISSUED BY THE COURT WHILE EXERCISING THE JURISDICTION IN THE DOMAIN OF THE CONTEMPT LAW ?(4)WHETHER DECIDED ISSUES CAN BE REOPENED OR PLEA OF EQUITIES CAN BE CONSIDERED BY INVOKING THE JURISDICTION UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA WHILE EXERCISING THE JURISDICTION IN THE DOMAIN OF CONTEMPT LAW ? FOR AMENDMENT IN RECORD ON IA 5496/2011 FOR DOCUMENT TAKEN ON RECORD ON IA 10900/2011 FOR [FOR SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS] ON IA 14618/2011 FOR [APP.ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER HIGHLIGHTING ABJECT AN] ON IA 6433/2012 FOR ADDING/DELETING PARTIES ON IA 14480/2012 FOR [APP.FOR COMPLIANCE TAKEN ON RECORD] ON IA 6195/2013 FOR [APP SEEKING AMENDMENT IN CONC] ON IA 12590/2013 FOR [SEEKING CLARIFICATION/MODIFICATION OF ORDER] ON IA 12589/2013 FOR [APPLICATION

Daily Cause List dated : 30-08-2018

**BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE S.K. SETH & HON'BLE
SHRI JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR SRIVASTAVA**

FOR TAKING SUBSEQUENT EVENTS ON] ON IA 2261/2014 FOR [APP FOR BRINGING
SUBSEQUENT EVENTS ON REC] ON IA 5831/2014 FOR [FOR EXEMPTION FROM
PERSONAL] ON IA 8542/2014 FOR [APP FOR TAKING DOC ON REC] ON IA 14556/2014
FOR [APPLICATION FOR NON COMPLIANCE OF THE ORDERS OF TH] ON IA
15260/2014 [ADMITTED ON : 05-11-2014]

TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)

PR (J) / R (J-I) / R(J-II)