

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR**FULL BENCH - I (Time 10:30 AM)****Daily Cause List dated : 07-09-2017****BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAJEEV KUMAR DUBEY & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA****Court Room No.: 1****MOTION HEARING****[ORDERS]**

SN	Case No	Petitioner / Respondent	Petitioner/Respondent Advocate
1	WP 7798/2017	M/S PANKAJ KUMAR RAI Versus THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH	MUKESH KUMAR AGRAWAL, PREM NARAYAN VERMA, UTKARSH AGRAWAL ADVOCATE GENERAL, AMIT SETH[R-1][AG][R-2][AG][R-3][AG][R-4][AG][R-5][AG]
<p>MINES AND MINERALS-15100 - Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development)Act 1957-15102 - Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development)Act 1957-15102 Relief - TO DIRECT THE RESP. NO.2 TO 5 NOT TO INSIST THE PETITIONER TO SUBMIT NOC FROM THE MINING DEPARTMENT WHILE MAKING THE PAYMENT OF RUNNING 7FINAL BILL {Fixed Date/SPC} FOR ORDER ON THE QUESTION OF (I) WHETHER THE PURCHASE OF MINOR MINERAL FROM OPEN MARKET IN TERMS OF 3RD PROVISIO TO RULE 68(1) EXCLUDES THE OBTAINING OF "NO MINING DUES" CERTIFICATE FROM MINING DEPARTMENT AS THE OPEN MARKET MAY INCLUDE ILLEGALLY EXTRACTED MINOR MINERAL AS WELL? (II)_ WHETHER THE JUDGMENT IN PHALUDI CONSTRUCTIONS AND INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD. LAYS ON GOOD LAW, IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THE AMENDMENTS CARRIED OUT IN RULES ON 23RD MARCH 2013 AND LATER ON 2.7.2013 WAS NOT BROUGHT TO THE NOTICE OF THE BENCH, WHEN THE RULE 68(1) WAS SUBSTITUTED?</p>			

TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)**PR (J) / R (J-I) / R(J-II)**